

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

LYNN SCOTT, LLC, et al., on behalf  
of themselves and all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GRUBHUB INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-06334

Judge LaShonda A. Hunt

Magistrate Judge Gabriel A. Fuentes

**DECLARATION OF TARA CRONBAUGH FOR PLAINTIFF IOWA CITY COFFEE  
COMPANY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF (1)  
ATTORNEYS' FEES, (2) REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND (3) CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE AWARDS**

I, Tara Cronbaugh, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could and would competently testify to them under oath if called as a witness.

2. I own Iowa City Coffee Company, an Iowa corporation with its home office in North Liberty, Iowa. Through Iowa City Coffee Company, I have owned and operated the Heirloom Salad Company since 2012 and The Java House since 1994. The Heirloom Salad Company has three café locations in Iowa City, Iowa and one in North Liberty, Iowa. The Java House has four coffee shop locations in Iowa City, Iowa and one in North Liberty, Iowa.

3. Iowa City Coffee Company owns the word mark “Heirloom Salad Company,” which was registered on the Supplemental Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 9, 2018 (Registration No. 5582479), and on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 23, 2022 (Registration No. 6826619). Iowa City Coffee Company has also registered “The Java House” mark with the Iowa Secretary of State (Certificate No. W01117183).

4. Iowa City Coffee Company is one of the named Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action and I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Award of (1) Attorneys’ Fees, (2) Reimbursement of Expenses, and (3) Class Representative Service Awards.

5. On behalf of Iowa City Coffee Company, I joined this litigation to bring an end to Grubhub’s practice of adding restaurants to its platform without permission and to hold Grubhub accountable.

6. I have been kept fully informed of case developments and procedural matters over the course of the case, including regular correspondence with my counsel by telephone, email, and texts concerning complaints, Grubhub’s motions to dismiss, discovery, mediation, and settlement.

7. I have actively participated in this case since March 2021. Since becoming involved in 2021, I have spent over 250 hours of my time assisting with the case prosecution of the case – time that I could have spent operating my restaurant. I believe that my contributions and those of the other proposed Class Representatives in this case have helped achieve a good result for the Class.

8. When I became involved in the case, I fully committed myself to protect not only my interests, but also the interests of absent class members throughout the litigation, including settlement. I believe I fulfilled my obligations.

9. I have been involved in the following activities:

10. **Protected the interests of the class members by objecting to an unfair settlement in the District of Colorado:** In March 2021, I expressed my interest in working with Gibbs Mura LLP – then Gibbs Law Group LLP – on a declaration in opposition to preliminary approval of a class settlement in a related class action filed in the United States District Court, District of Colorado entitled *CO Craft, LLC dba Freshcraft v. Grubhub, Inc.*, No. 1:20-cv-01327-WJM-NYW (D. Colo.). I understand that the parties in that case reached a proposed settlement in April 2021 that I believed to be both unfair and inadequate because it provided no monetary compensation yet released the equitable claims in this case, including for disgorgement of profits, one of the remedies under the Lanham Act. I worked with my counsel to express my objections to the proposed settlement agreement and submitted a declaration in May 2021 in opposition to preliminary approval of the class settlement. The court did not approve the proposed settlement, and the parties then proposed a revised settlement. I similarly found the revised settlement agreement to be unfair and inadequate, and I worked with my counsel to write and submit another declaration in October 2022 objecting to the revised settlement and opposing preliminary approval of the revised class settlement. The court denied preliminary approval again and rejected the revised class settlement. I

believe my efforts were instrumental in preventing an unfair, unreasonable, and inadequate settlement on behalf of the class in that case.

11. **Provided information for preparation of the pleadings:** I had several conversations with my counsel about my experiences with Grubhub and provided them with information to assist with the drafting and filing of the First Amended Complaint in this action on August 21, 2023.

12. In addition to reviewing and approving the filing of the First Amended Complaint, I also reviewed and approved of the filing of the Consolidated Amended Complaint on September 24, 2024.

13. **Participated extensively in discovery:** I have engaged in extensive discovery in this action. On January 17, 2024, Defendant served their First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Production, and Requests for Admission. I spent a considerable amount of time away from operating my restaurant to respond to Defendant's discovery requests. I worked with my counsel and provided information in response to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories and First Set of Requests for Admission; and reviewed my responses for accuracy. For Defendant's First Set of Requests for Production, I met with my counsel through Zoom and conducted search terms on my computer, phone, and email to locate electronically stored information relevant to the requests. I also searched for any hard copies of documents related to the requests. My responses to the First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production were served on April 15, 2024, and to the First Set of Requests for Admission on April 19, 2024, following my final review.

14. I also worked with my counsel to produce additional documents to Defendant on November 6, 2024, and to supplement my responses to Defendant's First Set of Requests for Admission, First Set of Interrogatories, and First Set of Requests for Production. I met with my counsel numerous times over the phone, and corresponded by email and texts, to provide further responses. I reviewed my supplemental responses

to make sure they were accurate. On April 4, 2025, my counsel served Defendant with my further responses to Defendant's First Set of Requests for Admission, First Set of Interrogatories, and First Set of Requests for Production. I also produced another set of documents.

15. On July 15, 2024, Defendant served their Second Set of Requests for Admission. I worked with my counsel and provided information in response to the Second Set of Requests for Admission and reviewed my responses for accuracy. On August 28, 2024, my counsel served Defendant with my responses to Defendant's Second Set of Requests for Admission.

16. In July 2024, Defendant served Iowa City Coffee Company and me with a deposition notice. I worked with my counsel on my availability, discussed arrangements, and discussed my expectations. After this planning, the deposition was postponed to a later date. In March 2025, Defendant only served Iowa City Coffee Company with a new deposition notice. I again worked with my counsel on my availability, discussed arrangements, and discussed my expectations and the types of topics I would be questioned about. Although Iowa City Coffee Company and I were ultimately never deposed, I spent a considerable amount of time away from my business searching my records and gathering information in preparation for it.

17. **Participated in mediation and settlement communications:** On February 5, 2025, I remotely attended and participated in mediation by Zoom where I listened to Magistrate Judge Gabriel A. Fuentes discuss our case. I also made myself available by phone. Prior to the mediation, I spoke with my counsel about the process, asked questions about my role, and took time to make myself available to attend. Throughout the mediation, I listened to my counsel Ms. Rivas and Mr. Johnson engage in negotiations and discuss our case with Magistrate Fuentes. I spoke up during the mediation to address some of the magistrate's questions. I considered the settlement

offers and demands that were made and gave my thoughts throughout the mediation process.

18. After the mediation, I had more discussions and meetings with my counsel to discuss the next steps leading up to the preliminary approval stage. I approved the proposed settlement that the parties reached in May 2025 with Grubhub because I believe it is fair, reasonable, and in the best interest of the Class. I continue to remain engaged in this case to ensure that my counsel has everything they need from me and to protect the interest of the Class.

19. **Faced risks by being a named plaintiff:** I faced considerable risk by being a named Plaintiff in this litigation. For example, I put my business at reputational and financial risk by attaching its name to this litigation and by taking time away from my responsibilities at my restaurant. Despite these risks, I chose to go forward because I was committed to the Class and cared about ending Grubhub's harmful practices.

20. I have evaluated the terms of the settlement. I have also discussed with my counsel the risks of continuing litigating the case. On behalf of the proposed class members, I have authorized my counsel to settle this matter on the terms set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement because I believe this settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable and is in the best interest of the Class.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true to the best of my knowledge. Executed on 12 / 16 / 2025, at North Liberty, Iowa.

*Tara Cronbaugh*

Tara Cronbaugh  
Owner of Iowa City Coffee Company